



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/961,254	09/25/2001	Koichi Otsuki	214037US2	7290		
22850	7590 04/13/2006		EXAMINER			
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			MILIA, MARK R			
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2625			
				DATE MAILED: 04/13/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/961,254	отѕикі, коісні		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Mark R. Milia	2625		

Potoro the Eiling of an Annoal Priof								
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Mark R. Milia	2625						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>15 March 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or					
The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(fextensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the state o	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI). which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE) and the appropriate exte	D WITHIN TWO					
peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month parent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	atutory period for reply originally set in the	final Office action; or (2)	as set forth in (b)					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estimate a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a Notice of Appeal has been filed.	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.					
AMENDMENTS								
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or 								
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.		jected claims.						
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
$5.$ \square Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	-							
the non-allowable claim(s).	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the consideration of the c	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered be	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ance because:					
12. X Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: The new examiner of record is Mark R. Milia.	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	R Phy					
		Joseph Primpry Art Di	R Phym R. POKEZYWA EXAMINER VISION 2625					
		14-1 01						

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The addition of new limitations to claims 1 and 8, such as "and stop" and the new limitations to claims 15 and 22, such as "configured to advance and stop the printing medium intermittently", raises new issues requiring further search and/or consideration.

JOSEPH R. POKRZYWA
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART DIVISION 2625